## MODIFIED ELECTRODES BASED ON FERROCENE ATTACHED TO MULTI-WALLED CARBON NANOTUBES: APPLICATION TO GLUCOSE BIOSENSING

<u>A. Le Goff</u><sup>a</sup>, R.Métayé<sup>a</sup>, V. Artero<sup>b</sup>, B. Jousselme<sup>a</sup>, M. Fontecave<sup>b</sup>, S. Palacin<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup> CEA/DSM/IRAMIS/SPCSI, F-91191 Gif-Sur-Yvette Cedex, France <sup>b</sup> CEA/DSV/iRTSV/LCBM, Université Joseph Fourier, 17 rue des Martyrs, 38054 Grenoble <u>alan.legoff@cea.fr</u>

Since their characterization in 1991<sup>1</sup>, carbon nanotubes (CNT) have revealed fascinating properties. Their great conductivity, wide surface area and ease of modification make them great candidates for building nanostructured electrodes in a wide variety of applications. In particular, immobilizing organometallic molecules on CNT gives access to modified electrodes for sensing and electrocatalysis applications.

Immobilizing a redox probe like ferrocene is extensively studied to build up new glucose sensors; indeed ferrocene plays the role of an electron mediator in the biocatalytic oxidation of glucose to gluconolactone by glucose oxidase<sup>2</sup>. Hence, functionalizing CNT with ferrocene derivatives can lead to very sensitive nanoelectrodes for glucose sensing<sup>3</sup>.

In this way, we compared two original methods for immobilizing ferrocene groups on a Multi-Wall CNT electrode. The first one is based on the  $\pi$ -stacking properties of pyrene towards CNT. Electrochemical studies indicate the robust immobilization of 2-pyrenylethyl 2ferrocenylethanoate on a CNT electrode (figure **A**). The second method is based on the modification of CNT by the electrogeneration of aryl radicals from the reduction of diazonium salts<sup>4</sup>. The electroreduction of amine-functionalized aryldiazonium salts was performed at an ITO/MWCNT electrode. A post-fonctionalization step reaction achieved the immobilization of the ferrocene moiety (figure **B**). Both modified CNT-based materials were characterized by electrochemistry and XPS measurements. The efficiency of the two synthetic methods and the activity of the resulting modified electrodes towards glucose biosensing were compared.

## **References:**

[1] S. Iijima, Nature, **354**(1991), 56.

[2] A. Heller, B. Feldman, Chem. Rev., ASAP.

[3] B. Sljukic, C. E. Banks, C. Salter, A. Crossley, R. G. Compton, The Analyst, 131(2006), 670.

[4] J. Pinson, F. Podvorica, Chem. Soc. Rev., 34(2005), 429.

## **Figures:**

