## Magnetocapacitance in Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite

<u>S. Yáñez-Vilar</u><sup>1</sup>, M. Sánchez-Andújar<sup>1</sup>, S. Castro-García<sup>1</sup>, J. Rivas<sup>2</sup>, M. A. Señarís-Rodríguez.<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Dept. Química Fundamental, Univ. da Coruña, A Zapateira s/n, 15071 A Coruña, España <sup>2</sup>Dept. Física Aplicada, Univ. de Santiago de Compostela, 15781 Santiago de Compostela,

España

syanez@udc.es

Nowadays, there is a growging interest in materials in which their dielectric constant can be modified by the application of a magnetic field [1]. Unluckily, relatively few compounds display such a magnetocapacitive (MC) behavior and many efforts have been devoted in the last years to search for new alternatives.

Recently, several authors have reported magnetocapacitive response in magnetic nanoparticles systems such as  $\varepsilon$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [2], MnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [3]. Therefore, nanoparticle technologies open a new route to obtain materials with such a behavior.

In this contribution, we study the influence of the SiO<sub>2</sub> coating on the dielectric and magnetocapacitive response of one of the most studied magnetic compounds among the iron oxides: the magnetite, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. This compound is a very well known material that shows a ferrimagnetic transition around  $T_C \sim 850$  K and nearly full spin polarization at room temperature [4], both properties of great potential for applications in giant magnetoelectronic and spin-valve devices.

For this purpose, the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles ( $\phi \sim 20$  nm) that constitute the cores were prepared following the solvothermal method described by Pinna et al. [5], and the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub> coreshell nanocomposites (Figure 1) were synthesized using the Stöber method [6]. The obtained samples were morphologically and structurally characterized by means of X-ray powder diffraction, scanning electron microscopy and transmission electron microscopy. Its complex dielectric permittivity,  $\varepsilon_r = \varepsilon_r - \varepsilon_r'$ , was measured as a function of frequency ( $20 \le v$  (Hz)  $\le 10^6$ ) and temperature ( $90 \le T$  (K)  $\le 300$ ). Dielectric measurements as a function of a magnetic field,  $H_{max} = 0.5$  T, were additionally performed in the temperature range  $200 \le T$  (K)  $\le 300$ .

The frequency dependent behavior of the two materials are compared in Figure 2. As it can be seen the dielectric constant shows higher values in the case of the  $Fe_3O_4$  nanoparticles, even if those of the core-shell nanocomposite do not decrease so markedly with frequency. Very interestingly in the coated sample the loss tangent has decreased as compared to the uncoated sample by at least a factor of 10 (Figure 3).

Moreover, a magnetocapacitive (MC) response is observed at room temperature in the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles, MC=  $[\epsilon'_{r(H=0.5T)}-\epsilon'_{r(H=0T)}]/\epsilon'_{r(H=0T)}\sim 6\%$ , that slightly decreases, but maintains values ~ 1 % in the case of the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite.

## Acknowledgments:

The authors are grateful for financial support from Xunta de Galicia under project PGIDIT06PXB103298PR and from Consolider-Ingenio 2010 under poject CSD2006-00012. S. Yáñez-Vilar want to thank to MEC of Spain for her FPI fellowship and M. Sánchez-Andújar acknowledges to Xunta de Galicia for support under program Parga Pondal.

## **References:**

[1] G. Catalan, Appl. Phys. Lett. 88 (2006) 102902.

[2] M. Gith, C. Frontera, A.Roig, J. Fontcuberta, E. Molins, Nanotechnology 17 (2006) 687.

[3] G. Lawes, R. Tackett, O. Masala, B. Adhikary, R. Naik, R. Seshadri, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 88 (2006) 687.

[4] J. M. D. Coey, A. E. Berkowitz, Ll. Balcells, F. F. Putris, F. T. Parker, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **72** (1998) 734.

[5] N. Pinna, S. Grancharow, P. Beato, P. Bonville, M. Antonetti, M. Niederberger, *Chem. Mater.* **17** (2005) 3044.

TNT2008

[6] W. Stöber, A.Fink, E. Bohn, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 26 (1968) 62.



**Figure 1.** TEM micrographs of: (a) the  $Fe_3O_4$  nanoparticles, (b) the  $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2$  core-shell composite (thickness of the SiO<sub>2</sub> nanocoating ~ 6 nm).



**Figure 2.** Frequency (v) dependence of the dielectric constant ( $\epsilon'_r$ ) for: (a) Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles and (b) Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite, measured at T= 295 K in the absence and presence of magnetic field.

Inset on Figures 2a and 2b: Magnetocapacitive effect, where MC=[ $\epsilon'_{r(H=0.5T)}-\epsilon'_{r(H=0T)}$ ]/ $\epsilon'_{r(H=0T)}$ .



**Figure 3.** Plot of the loss tangent (tan $\delta$ ) versus frequency ( $20 \le v$  (Hz)  $\le 10^6$ ) corresponding to both the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles and the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite measured at T= 300 K.